WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
- 10	Manuel Perez-Perez	Case Number: <u>11-09257M-001</u>
and was rep	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 resented by counsel. I conclude by a prej dant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 20, 2011. Defendant was present ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
	reponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
· · · · ·	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	,	
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the mat of the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of cond	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		IONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable I States or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT 19	S ORDERED that should an anneal of th	is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to

deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: __June 20, 2011__

investigate the potential third party custodian.

Court.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge